Short Communication

Alvarado Score in Surgical Skills

Hazim Abdul-Rahman Alhiti[†]

Introduction

A skill is the intended experience of a person to perform a procedure of proven outcomes with exceptional performance within a particular time and energy. Moreover, a skill needs environmental circumstances like teamwork, self-urge, time, and leadership. Furthermore, there are three main kinds: human, technical, and conceptual. Some scientists considered skills as art if it includes particular knowledge or learning.

Clinical skills are essential for the clinical interview and physical examination, despite the common inefficiencies among medical trainees and newest doctors. Hence, the regulated patients or electronic simulations are trusted methods for practicing clinical skills as in the "United States Medical Licensing Examination" under direct supervision. Furthermore, specialized faculties are responsible for trainee improvement and Verification [1]. Acute appendicitis is a known surgical emergency in which it demands clinical, laboratory, and radiological investigations cured by appendectomy. Accordingly, the

surgeons adopted various surgical scoring systems to support this doubt and avoid unnecessary radiological tests.

In surgery, the Alvarado score is a well-known clinical skill for scoring practice in diagnosing acute appendicitis. Further, this score launched in 1986 mainly for pregnant women, and later the surgeons applied it in the non-pregnant. Accordingly, this score has six clinical parts plus two laboratory tests in a sum of ten points. Moreover, the general surgeons applied the Alvarado score as a surgical scoring skill in addition to the radiological tools to diagnose acute appendicitis [2]. The "Modified Alvarado Score" is a newer method after some limitations of the original pattern due to the variations in laboratory identification of the left shift of the neutrophil segmentation. Hence, Dr. Kalan omitted this parameter from Alvarado Score in 1994. Moreover, the junior general surgeons found that modification is simpler and easier to apply in doubtful acute appendicitis. The general surgeon applied surgical skills in both the Alvarado score and its modification to reduce the plausibility of unnecessary appendicectomy and may depreciate the necessity for a visceral CT- scan.

Argument

There was a debate between the general surgeons about the value of the Alvarado Score in suspicion of acute appendicitis. Moreover, there is a reported sensitivity of 74% of the Modified Alvarado Score for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. So, there is a critique in the employment of the Alvarado score or its modified pattern in surgical practice.

Evidence

Numerous surgical scoring systems assist junior general surgeons in their judgment of acute appendicitis. Moreover, the researchers implemented several surgical scores like Tzanakis, Lidverg, Christian, Fenyo, Ohman, RIPASA, Lintula, Yash, and Alvarado scoring systems. Further, the investigators use surgical scores in

Chief of Breast Clinic, Hit District General Hospital, Al-anbar, Iraq

[†]Author for correspondence: Hazim Abdul-Rahman Alhiti, Chief of Breast Clinic, Hit District General Hospital, Al-anbar, Iraq, Cell: +9647821490455, Email: Hazim.alhiti@uoanbar.edu.iq

various communities, but the vulnerability is observer bias [3]. Alvarado score is the current surgical score for the junior general surgeons in the emergency room in acute appendicitis. Accordingly, the surgical researchers considered it the simple, reproducible, trusted, effective, practical, and indicative score. Hence, if the Alvarado score is higher than seven, then a strong indication for urgent surgery. Further, if the score is lower than 4, it is unlikely to need an appendicectomy.

The Alvarado score holds six clinical points (variables) from the patient's history, then clinical examination plus two laboratory findings in a sum of ten points.

- Abdominal pain shifting to right iliac fossa=1 point
- Nausea or vomiting=1 point
- Anorexia=1 point
- Fever: more than 37.3°Celsius=1 point
- Rebound tenderness=1 point
- "Tender right iliac fossa"=2 points
- Neutrophilia>70% (or left shift means immature neutrophils)=1 point
- Leukocytosis more than 10,000 cells per micro liter=2 points

"Modified Alvarado score" is a modification of the Alvarado score by excluding the "left shift of neutrophil maturation" was adopted by Dr. Kalan in 1994. Moreover, the general surgeons employed a general mnemonic to remember it as (MANTREL), which symbolizes the initial letter of these nine points:

M=Migration of pain to the right iliac fossa=1 point

A=Anorexia: loss of appetite=1 point

N=Nausea with/without Vomiting=1 point

T="Tenderness in the right iliac fossa"=2 points

R=Rebound tenderness=1 point

E=Elevated temperature: fever=1 point

L=Leukocytosis: high WBCs:>10 × 109/L=2 points

Counterargument

Twenty- thirty percent of the patients who had a true pathology of acute appendicitis were under judged by the junior general surgeon before accurate diagnosis. Hence, the misuse of the Alvarado score, patient interview problems, patient response, estimation bias affect the Alvarado score utilization. Moreover, the misunderstanding of the laboratory results affects the clinical skills in diagnosing acute appendicitis [4].

Refutation

There is a notable correlation between the histopathological proof and the Alvarado score (p=0.002). Accordingly, Alvarado scoring shows a sensitivity of 75%, plus a specificity of 89%. Moreover, the higher the Alvarado score, the more prominent trend to advanced stages histopathological findings, especially in males (p=0.003) [5].

Conclusion

The Alvarado score is a well-known clinical skill for scoring practice in diagnosing acute appendicitis

References

1. Jothi S, Ram PS, Sivakumar VPR. The Efficacy of Core Muscle Release Technique in Mechanical Low Back Pain a Quasi Experimental Study. Int J Clin Sills 15, 79-84 (2021).
2. Shivakumar S. Study of the combination of Alvarado score and Ultrasonography in diagnosing acute appendicitis. Int J Surg Sci 5, 129-131(2021).
3. Farahbakhsh F. A comparative study on the diagnostic validity of three scoring systems in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in emergency centres. African J Emergen Med. 10, 132-135 (2020).
4. Alhiti HAR. The Impact of the Differential White Blood Cells in the Diagnosis of the Acute Right Iliac Fossa Pathology. Biointerf Res Appl Chem. 10, 6082-6087 (2020).
5. Do Nascimento RR. Association between the Alvarado score and surgical and histopathological findings in acute appendicitis. Rev Col Bras Cir 45, 209-214 (2018).